

Link Layer Services

Framing, link access ٠

Μ

Μ lHt

Μ

Μ

HnHt


HI HnHt

- encapsulate datagram into frame, adding header, trailer
- implement channel access if shared medium
- 'physical addresses' used in frame headers to identify source, destination
 - · different from IP address!
- Reliable delivery between two physically connected devices: ٠
 - we learned how to do this in chapter 3
 - seldom used on low error link (fiber, some twisted pair)
 - wireless links: high error rates
 - · Q: why both link-level and end-end reliability?

Link Layer Services (more)

 Flow Control pacing between sender and receiver Error Detection errors caused by signal attenuation, noise receiver detects presence of errors: · receiver signals sender for retransmission or drops frame Error Correction - receiver identifies and corrects bit error(s) without resorting to retransmission Half-duplex and full-duplex - with half duplex, nodes at both ends of link can transmit, but not at same time Distributed Computing Group Computer Networks R. Wattenhofer 5/5 Distributed Computing Group Computer Networks R. Wattenhofer 5/6 Link Layer: Implementation **Error Detection** Link layer implemented in "adapter" (a.k.a. NIC) • EDC = Error Detection and Correction bits (redundancy) - e.g., PCMCIA card, Ethernet card D = Data protected by error checking, may include header fields - typically includes: RAM, DSP chips, host bus interface, Error detection not 100% reliable! and link interface protocol may miss some errors, but rarely - larger EDC field yields better detection and correction datagram datagram application Y all transport bits in D Ν network network data link OK detected protocol error H_lH_nH_t M link link ←d data bits → physical physical EDC frame D D' EDC' phys. link bit-error prone link adapter card Distributed Computing Group Computer Networks R. Wattenhofer 5/7 Distributed Computing Group Computer Networks R. Wattenhofer 5/8

Parity Checking




Internet checksum

Cyclic Redundancy Code (CRC): Division in Hardware Cyclic Redundancy Code (CRC): How to chose G(x)? • Use cyclic shift register with r registers, where r is the order of G(x) Typical generator polynomial G(x) = x¹⁶+x¹²+x⁵+1 Why does G(x) look like this? Example • • Let E(x) be the transmission errors, that is T(x) = M(x) + E(x) $T(x) \mod G(x) = (M(x) + E(x)) \mod G(x)$ $G(x) = x^{3}$ + x² + 1 $= M(x) \mod G(x) + E(x) \mod G(x)$ • Since M(x) mod G(x) = 0 we can detect all transmission errors as long as E(x) is not divisible by G(x) without remainder T(x)One can show that G(x) of order r can detect - all single bit errors as long as G(x) has 2 or more coefficients - all bursty errors (burst of length k is a k-bit long 1xxxx1 string) Finally the remainder of the division is in the registers ٠ with $k \leq r$ (note: needs G(x) to include the term 1) Any error with probability 2^{-r} Distributed Computing Group Computer Networks R. Wattenhofer 5/13 Distributed Computing Group Computer Networks R. Wattenhofer 5/14 Multiple Access Links and Protocols Channel Partitioning: Frequency Division Multiplex (FDM) Three types of "links" Separation of the whole spectrum into smaller frequency bands point-to-point (single wire; e.g. PPP, SLIP) A channel gets a certain band of the spectrum for the whole time broadcast (shared wire or medium; e.g. Ethernet, WLAN) + no dynamic coordination necessary • switched (e.g. switched Ethernet, ATM) + works also for analog signals k₁ - waste of bandwidth if traffic С is distributed unevenly - inflexible Example: ٠ broadcast radio shared wire shared wireless cocktail part (e.g. Ethernet) (e.g. Wavelan)

Channel Partitioning: Time Division Multiplex (TDM)

- A channel gets the whole spectrum for a certain amount of time
- + only one carrier in the medium at any time
- + throughput high even for many users
- precise synchronization necessary




Channel Partitioning: Time/Frequency Division Multiplex

- · Combination of both methods
- A channel gets a certain frequency band for some time
- protection against frequency selective interference +
- protection against tapping +
- adaptive +
- precise coordination required




· Example: GSM



Distributed Computing Group Computer Networks R. Wattenhofer

Channel Partitioning: Code Division Multiplex (CDM)

- Each channel has a unique code
- All channels use the same spectrum at the same time
- + bandwidth efficient
- + no coordination or synchronization
- + hard to tap
- almost impossible to jam +
- lower user data rates
- more complex signal regeneration
- Example: UMTS
- Spread spectrum
- U. S. Patent 2'292'387, Hedy K. Markey (a.k.a. Lamarr or Kiesler) and George Antheil (1942)



Cocktail party as analogy for multiplexing

- · Space multiplex: Communicate in different rooms
- · Frequency multiplex: Use soprano, alto, tenor, or bass voices to define the communication channels
- Time multiplex: Let other speaker finish
- Code multiplex: Use different languages and hone in on your language. The "farther apart" the languages the better you can filter the "noise": German/Japanese better than German/Dutch. Can we have orthogonal languages?



5/20

5/18



Distributed Computing Group Computer Networks R. Wattenhofer

Multiple Access Protocols

- Single shared communication channel
- Two or more simultaneous transmissions by nodes: interference
 - only one node can send successfully at a time
- Multiple Access Control (MAC) Protocol
 - distributed algorithm that determines how stations share channel, i.e., determine when station can transmit
 - communication about channel sharing must use channel itself!
 - what to look for in multiple access protocols
 - synchronous or asynchronous
 - information needed about other stations
 - robustness (e.g. to channel errors)
 - performance

- Distributed Computing Group Computer Networks R. Wattenhofer

Distributed Computing Group Computer Networks R. Wattenhofer

"Taking Turns" MAC protocols

Polling

- master node "invites" slave nodes to transmit in turn
- Request to Send, Clear to Send messages
- concerns
 - polling overhead
 - latency
 - single point of failure (master)


Token passing (Token Ring)

· control token passed from one node to next sequentially


5/21

5/23

- token message
- concerns
- token overhead
- latency
- single point of failure (token)



MAC Protocols: a taxonomy



- Channel Partitioning
 - divide channel into smaller "pieces" (time slots, frequency)
 - allocate piece to node for exclusive use
- "Taking turns"
 - tightly coordinate shared access to avoid collisions
- Random Access
 - allow collisions
 - "recover" from collisions
- Goals: decentralized, efficient, simple, fair

Distributed Computing Group Computer Networks R. Wattenhofer

"Taking Turns" Protocols: Round Robin

- Round robin protocol: station k sends after station k-1 (mod n)
- If a station does not need to transmit data, then it sends "ɛ"
- There is a maximum message size *m* that can be transmitted
- Is this different from token ring protocol?
- Questions
 - How efficient is round robin?
 - What if a station breaks or leaves?
 - Can a new station join?
- · All deterministic protocols have these (or worse) problems
 - Try randomized protocols instead!



Random Access protocols

- · When node has packet to send
 - transmit at full channel data rate R
 - no a priori coordination among nodes
- Two or more transmitting nodes → "collision"
- Random access MAC protocol specifies
 - how to detect collisions
 - how to recover from collisions
 - · via delayed retransmissions
- Examples of random access MAC protocols:
 - ALOHA and variants (slotted ALOHA, adaptive ALOHA)
 - Backoff protocols (CSMA, CSMA/CD, CSMA/CA)



Slotted Aloha

 Time is divided into equal size slots A slot is equal to the packet transmission time Node with new arriving packet: transmit at beginning of next slot If collision: retransmit packet in future slots with probability p, until successful node 1 node 2 node 3 Success (S), Collision (C), Empty (E) slots Distributed Computing Group Computer Networks R. Wattenhofer 5/26 Queuing Theory (Remember Chapter 3?) Simplest M/M/1 gueuing model (M=Markov): Poisson arrival rate λ , exponential service time with mean $1/\mu$ In our time slot model, this means that the probability that a new packet is received by the buffer is λ ; the probability that sending succeeds is $\mu = 1/e$, for any time slot. To keep the gueue bounded we need $\rho = \lambda/\mu < 1$, thus $\lambda < 1/e$. In the equilibrium, the expected number of packets in the system is $N = \rho/(1-\rho)$, the average time in the system is $T = N/\lambda$. Distributed Computing Group Computer Networks R. Wattenhofer 5/28

Slotted Aloha (slightly simplified version for analysis)

- We assume that the stations are perfectly synchronous
- In each time slot each station transmits with probability p

 $P_{1} = \Pr[\text{Station 1 succeeds}] = p(1-p)^{n-1}$ $P = \Pr[\text{any Station succeeds}] = nP_{1}$ maximize $P: \frac{dP}{dp} = n(1-p)^{n-2}(1-pn)^{\frac{1}{2}} 0 \implies pn = 1$ then, $P = (1-\frac{1}{p})^{n-1} \ge \frac{1}{2}$


• In slotted aloha, a station can transmit successfully with probability at least 1/*e*. How quickly can an application send packets to the radio transmission unit? Queuing Theory!

 \bigcirc

5/27

Slotted Aloha vs. Round Robin

- Slotted aloha uses not every slot of the channel; the round robin protocol is better in this respect.
- + What happens in round robin when a new station joins? What about more than one new station? Slotted aloha is more flexible.
- Example: If the actual number of stations is twice as high as expected, there is still a successful transmission with probability 27%. If it is only half, 30% of the slots are successful.






Distributed Computing Group Computer Networks R. Wattenhofer

5/29

Pure (unslotted) ALOHA

- · Unslotted Aloha: simpler, no synchronization
- Packet needs transmission
 - send without awaiting for beginning of slot
- · Collision probability increases:
 - packet sent at t_0 collide with packets sent in (t_0-1, t_0+1)



- Adaptive slotted aloha
- · Idea: Change the access probability with the number of stations
- How can we estimate the current number of stations in the system?
- Assume that stations can distinguish whether 0, 1, or more than 1 stations send in a time slot.
- Idea: Try to estimate the number of stations!
 - If you see that nobody sends, increase p.
 - If you see that more than one sends, decrease p.
- Analysis a little too tough for this course (unfortunately)

Distributed Computing Group Computer Networks R. Wattenhofer 5/30

Pure Aloha Analysis

- Partition each slot of size 1 into x "minislots" of size ε (then x = 1/ ε)
- Probability to start transmission in minislot is p. for each station

 $P_1 = \Pr[\text{Station 1 succeeds}] = p_{\ell}(1-p_{\ell})^{(2x-1)(n-1)}$ $P = \Pr[\text{any station succeeds}] = n \cdot P_1$

P can be maximized by choosing $p_{\epsilon} = \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon + 2n}$, which is $\frac{\epsilon}{2n}$ for $\epsilon \to 0^+$. Then

$$P = np_{\epsilon}(1-p_{\epsilon})^{(2x-1)(n-1)} = \frac{\epsilon}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2n}\right)^{2n/\epsilon - \dots} \ge \frac{\epsilon}{2e}$$

Since there are x minislots in 1 slot, the success rate of a slot is about 1/2e, that is, half the rate of slotted aloha



Slotted Aloha vs. Pure Aloha	Demand Assigned Multiple Access (DAMA)
1ndpoo6, 0.4	 Channel efficiency only 36% for Slotted Aloha, and even worse for Aloha.
	 Practical systems therefore use reservation whenever possible. But: Every scalable system needs an Aloha style component.
Slotted Aloha	 Reservation a sender <i>reserves</i> a future time-slot
0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 G = offered load = np	 sending within this reserved time-slot is possible without collision
	 reservation also causes higher delays
protocol constrains effective channel throughput!	 typical scheme for satellite systems
Example for reservation-based protocol	Backoff Protocols
o⊷ Distributed Polling	• Backoff protocols rely on acknowledgements only.
 Distributed Polling time divided into slots 	 Backoff protocols rely on acknowledgements only. Binary exponential backoff, for example, works as follows:
 Distributed Polling time divided into slots begins with N short <i>reservation slots</i> 	 Backoff protocols rely on acknowledgements only. Binary exponential backoff, for example, works as follows: If a packet has collided <i>k</i> times, we set <i>p</i> = 2^{-k}
 Distributed Polling time divided into slots 	 Backoff protocols rely on acknowledgements only. Binary exponential backoff, for example, works as follows:
 Distributed Polling time divided into slots begins with N short reservation slots reservation slot time equal to channel end-end propagation delay station with message to send posts reservation reservation seen by all stations after reservation slots, message transmissions ordered 	 Backoff protocols rely on acknowledgements only. Binary exponential backoff, for example, works as follows: If a packet has collided <i>k</i> times, we set <i>p</i> = 2^{-k} Or alternatively: wait from random number of slots in [12^k] It has been shown that binary exponential backoff is not stable for any λ > 0 (if there are infinitely many potential stations) [Proof sketch: with very small but positive probability you go to a
 Distributed Polling time divided into slots begins with N short reservation slots reservation slot time equal to channel end-end propagation delay station with message to send posts reservation reservation seen by all stations after reservation slots, message transmissions ordered by known priority 	 Backoff protocols rely on acknowledgements only. Binary exponential backoff, for example, works as follows: If a packet has collided <i>k</i> times, we set <i>p</i> = 2^{-k} Or alternatively: wait from random number of slots in [12^k] It has been shown that binary exponential backoff is not stable for any λ > 0 (if there are infinitely many potential stations) [Proof sketch: with very small but positive probability you go to a bad situation with many waiting stations, and from there you get even worse with a potential function argument – sadly the proof is much too intricate to be shown in this course ©]
 Distributed Polling time divided into slots begins with N short reservation slots reservation slot time equal to channel end-end propagation delay station with message to send posts reservation reservation seen by all stations after reservation slots, message transmissions ordered 	 Backoff protocols rely on acknowledgements only. Binary exponential backoff, for example, works as follows: If a packet has collided <i>k</i> times, we set <i>p</i> = 2^{-k} Or alternatively: wait from random number of slots in [12^k] It has been shown that binary exponential backoff is not stable for any λ > 0 (if there are infinitely many potential stations) [Proof sketch: with very small but positive probability you go to a bad situation with many waiting stations, and from there you get even worse with a potential function argument – sadly the proof

CSMA: Carrier Sense Multiple Access

Idea of CSMA: listen before transmit!

- If channel sensed idle: transmit entire packet
- · If channel sensed busy, defer transmission. Two variants
 - Persistent CSMA
 - retry immediately with probability p when channel becomes idle (may cause instability)
 - Non-persistent CSMA
 - retry after random interval
- Human analogy
 - 1. Don't interrupt anybody already speaking



Distributed Computing Group Computer Networks R. Wattenhofer

5/37


5/39

CSMA/CD (Collision Detection)


CSMA/CD: carrier sensing, as in CSMA

- collisions detected within short time
- colliding transmissions aborted, reducing channel wastage
- persistent or non-persistent retransmission
- · collision detection
 - easy in wired LANs: measure signal strengths, compare transmitted, received signals
 - difficult in wireless LANs: receiver shut off while transmitting
- Human analogy (the polite conversationalist)
 - 1. Don't interrupt anybody already speaking
 - 2. If another starts speaking with you, then back off.

Distributed Computing Group Computer Networks R. Wattenhofer



CSMA/CD collision detection



Summary of MAC protocols

- What do you do with a shared media?
 - Channel Partitioning, by time, frequency or code
 - Time Division, Code Division, Frequency Division
 - Taking Turns
 - · polling from a central site, token passing
 - Random partitioning (dynamic)
 - ALOHA, S-ALOHA, CSMA, CSMA/CD
 - · carrier sensing
 - easy in some technologies (wire)
 - hard in others (wireless)
 - · CSMA/CD used in Ethernet



Distributed Computing Group Computer Networks R. Wattenhofer

LAN Addresses and ARP

32-bit IP address

- network-layer address
- used to get datagram to destination network (recall IP network definition)

MAC (or LAN or physical) address

- used to get datagram from one interface to another physically-connected interface (same LAN)
- 48 bit MAC address (for most LANs) burned in the adapter ROM

ARP (Address Resolution Protocol)

• IP-Address → MAC-Address



Distributed Computing Group Computer Networks R. Wattenhofer

5/43


5/41

LAN technologies

- Data link layer so far
 - services, error detection/correction, multiple access


user hosts

- · Next: LAN technologies
 - addressing
 - Ethernet
 - hubs, bridges, switches
 - 802.11 – PPP



5/42

Distributed Computing Group Computer Networks R. Wattenhofer




LAN Address (more)

Recall earlier routing discussion Starting at A AD 223.1.1.1 • MAC address allocation administered by IEEE ٠ given IP datagram manufacturer buys portion of MAC address space (to assure 223.1.1.2 addressed to B uniqueness) 223 1 1 4 223.1.2.9 look up network address of B Analogy • find B on same net as A 223. - MAC address like Social Security (AHV) Number 223.1.1.3 223.1.3.27 link layer send datagram to B - IP address like postal address 223.1.3.2 inside link-layer frame 223.1.3.1 MAC flat address \rightarrow portability ٠ - can move LAN card from one LAN to another frame source, datagram source, IP hierarchical address NOT portable dest address • dest address depends on network to which one attaches B's MAC A's MAC A's IP B's IP IP payload addr addr addr addr datagram frame Distributed Computing Group Computer Networks R. Wattenhofer 5/45 Distributed Computing Group Computer Networks R. Wattenhofer 5/46 ARP: Address Resolution Protocol ARP protocol A knows B's IP address, wants to learn physical address of B Question: How to determine Each IP node (Host, Router) · A broadcasts ARP query packet, containing B's IP address MAC address of B on LAN has ARP table - all machines on LAN receive ARP query given B's IP address? ARP Table: IP/MAC B receives ARP packet, replies to A with its (B's) physical address mappings for some laver address LAN nodes - 222.222.222.220 < IP addr; MAC addr; TTL > · A caches (saves) IP-to-physical address pairs until information 1A-23-F9-CD-06-9B becomes old (times out) = adapter • TTL (Time To Live): time after which address This is a so-called "soft state" protocol 222.222.222.223 mapping will be forgotten - information times out (goes away) unless refreshed node - 222.222.222.221 nde LAN (typically 20 min) 88-B2-2E-54-1A-0E 5C-66-AB-90-75-B1 - 49-8D-D2-C7-56-2A

222.222.222.222

Distributed Computing Group Computer Networks R. Wattenhofer

Routing to another LAN



Continued...

Ethernet uses CSMA/CD (connectionless & unreliable)

Connectionless

- No handshaking between sending and receiving adapter.
- Unreliable
 - receiving adapter doesn't send ACKs or NAKs to sending adapter
 - stream of datagrams passed to network layer can have gaps, which will be filled if app is using TCP or seen by application
- No slots
- Carrier sense: adapter doesn't transmit if it senses that some other adapter is transmitting
- Collision detection: transmitting adapter aborts when it senses that another adapter is transmitting
- Random access: Before attempting a retransmission, adapter waits a random time



Distributed Computing Group Computer Networks R. Wattenhofer

5/53

Ethernet CSMA/CD algorithm

- 1. Adapter gets datagram from network layer and creates frame
- 2. If adapter senses channel idle, it starts to transmit frame. If it senses channel busy, waits until channel idle and then transmits
- 3. If adapter transmits entire frame without detecting another transmission, the adapter is done with frame !

- 4. If adapter detects another transmission while transmitting, aborts and sends jam signal
- After aborting, adapter enters exponential backoff: after the mth collision, adapter chooses a K at random from {0,1,2,...,2^m-1}. Adapter waits K·512 bit times and returns to Step 2

5/54

Di:

Distributed Computing Group Computer Networks R. Wattenhofer

Ethernet's CSMA/CD (more)

Jam Signal

 make sure all other transmitters are aware of collision; 48 bits;

Bit time

- 0.1 microsec for 10 Mbps Ethernet
- for K=1023, wait time is about 50 msec

Exponential Backoff

- Goal: adapt retransmission attempts to estimated current load
 - heavy load: random wait will be longer
- first collision: choose K from {0,1}; delay is K·512 bit transmission times
- after second collision: choose K from {0,1,2,3}
- after ten collisions, choose K from {0,1,2,3,4,...,1023}

CSMA/CD efficiency

- t_{prop} = max. propagation time between any two nodes in LAN
- t_{trans} = time to transmit max-size frame


utilization $\approx \frac{1}{1 + 6.2 \cdot t_{prop} / t_{trans}}$

- Derivation of this formula is not trivial (not in this course)
- Remarks
 - Utilization goes to 1 as t_{prop} goes to 0
 - Utilization goes to 1 as t_{trans} goes to infinity
 - Much better than ALOHA, but still decentralized, simple, and cheap




Ethernet Technologies: 10Base2


- 10: 10Mbps; 2: under 200 meters maximal cable length
- thin coaxial cable in a bus topology



- · repeaters used to connect up to multiple segments
- repeater repeats bits it hears on one interface to its other interfaces: physical layer device only!
- has become a legacy technology

()	Distributed Computing Group	Computer Networks	R. Wattenhofer	5/57







- Used in 10BaseT, 10Base2
- Each bit has a transition
- Allows clocks in sending and receiving nodes to synchronize to each other

Distributed Computing Group Computer Networks R. Wattenhofer

- no need for a centralized, global clock among nodes!
- Hey, this is physical-layer stuff!



- 10/100 Mbps rate; latter a.k.a. "fast ethernet"
- T stands for Twisted Pair
- Nodes connect to a hub: "star topology"; 100 m max distance between nodes and hub



- · Hubs are essentially physical-layer repeaters
 - bits coming in on one link go out on all other links
 - no frame buffering
 - no CSMA/CD at hub: adapters detect collisions
 - provides net management functionality

Distributed Computing Group Computer Networks R. Wattenhofer 5/58


Gbit Ethernet

- · uses standard Ethernet frame format
- · allows for point-to-point links and shared broadcast channels
- in shared mode, CSMA/CD is used; short distances between nodes to be efficient
- · uses hubs, called here "Buffered Distributors"
- · Full-Duplex at 1 Gbps for point-to-point links
- 10 Gbps now!



Interconnecting with Hubs

- Backbone hub interconnects LAN segments ٠
- Extends max, distance between nodes
- But individual segment collision domains become one large collision domain
 - if a node in CS and a node in EE transmit at same time: collision
- Can't interconnect 10BaseT & 100BaseT



Interconnecting with Bridges (a.k.a. Switches)

- A bridge is a link layer device
 - stores and forwards Ethernet frames
 - examines frame header and selectively forwards frame based on MAC destination address
 - when frame is to be forwarded on segment, uses CSMA/CD to access segment
- transparent ٠
 - hosts are unaware of presence of bridges
- plug-and-play, self-learning
 - bridges do not need to be configured

Distributed Computing Group Computer Networks R. Wattenhofer 5/62

Bridges: traffic isolation Bridge installation breaks LAN into LAN segments Bridges filter packets: - same-LAN-segment frames not usually forwarded onto other LAN segments - segments become separate collision domains = hub collision collision bridge domain 🛡 domain = host

LAN (IP network)

Distributed Computing Group Computer Networks R. Wattenhofer

← LAN segment →

Forwarding bridge 2 10BaseT 10BaseT 10BaseT Electrical Computer Systems Engineering Science Engineering

How do determine to which LAN segment to forward frame?

Looks like a routing problem ...

5/61

Self learning

- A bridge has a bridge table
 - with entries (Node LAN Address, Bridge Interface, Time Stamp)
 - stale entries in table dropped (TTL can be 60 min)
- bridges learn which hosts can be reached through which interfaces
 - when frame received, bridge "learns" location of sender, incoming LAN segment

Distributed Computing Group Computer Networks R. Wattenhofer

- records sender/location pair in bridge table

Filtering/Forwarding

When bridge receives a frame:

index bridge table using MAC destination address if entry found for destination

then

- if dest on segment from which frame arrived then drop the frame
 - else forward the frame on interface indicated

else

5/65

address | port

A | 1

B | 1

E 2

H 3

J 3

5/67

forward on all but the interface on which frame arrived

Distributed Computing Group Computer Networks R. Wattenhofer

5/66

Bridge example

Suppose C sends frame to D and D replies back with frame to C.

 \odot


๎฿


...()()

bridge

- Bridge receives frame from C
 - notes in bridge table that C is on interface 1
 - because D is not in table, bridge sends frame into interfaces 2 and 3
- · frame received by D
- D generates frame for C, sends
- bridge receives frame
 - notes in bridge table that D is on interface 2
 - bridge knows C is on interface 1, so selectively forwards frame to interface 1








Not recommended for two reasons:

- single point of failure at Computer Science hub
- all traffic between EE and SE must pass CS segment





5/71

Distributed Computing Group Computer Networks R. Wattenhofer

Bridges vs. Routers

Bridges

- + Bridge operation is simpler requiring less packet processing
- + Bridge tables are self learning
- All traffic confined to spanning tree, even when alternative bandwidth is available
- Bridges do not offer protection from broadcast storms

Routers

- arbitrary topologies can be supported, cycling is limited by TTL counters (and good routing protocols)
- + provide protection against broadcast storms
- require IP address configuration (not plug and play)
- require higher packet processing

5/73

bridges do well in small (few hundred hosts) while routers used in large networks (thousands of hosts)



Distributed Computing Group Computer Networks R. Wattenhofer

Not an atypical LAN (IP network) www server To external Internet 100 Mbps 100 Mbp Mail server 100 Mbps Switch 10BaseT hub 10BaseT hub 10BaseT hub **Electrical Engineering** Computer Science Systems Engineering Distributed Computing Group Computer Networks R. Wattenhofer 5/75

Ethernet Switch

- · Essentially a multi-interface bridge
- Layer 2 (frame) forwarding, filtering using LAN addresses
- Switching: A-to-A' and B-to-B' simultaneously, no collisions
- · Large number of interfaces
- Often
 - Hosts star-connected into switch
 - Ethernet, but no collisions!
 - cut-through switching: forwarding without waiting for entire frame
 - combinations of shared/dedicated, 10/100/1000 Mbps interfaces

Distributed Computing Group Computer Networks R. Wattenhofer

Ethernet

switch

В

 \times

Summary comparison

	hubs	bridges	routers	switches	
traffic isolation	no	yes	yes	yes	
plug & play	yes	yes	no	yes	
optimal routing	no	no	yes	no	
cut through	yes	no	no	yes	



Point to Point Data Link Control


 packet framing one sender, one receiver, one link: easier than broadcast link - encapsulation of network-layer datagram in data link frame no Media Access Control - carry network layer data of any network layer protocol (not no need for explicit MAC addressing just IP) at same time - e.g., dialup link, ISDN line - ability to demultiplex upwards bit transparency: must carry any bit pattern in the data field popular point-to-point DLC protocols error detection (no correction) – PPP (point-to-point protocol) connection liveness: detect, signal link failure to network layer - HDLC: High level data link control (Data link used to be network layer address negotiation: endpoints can learn/configure each other's network addresses considered "high layer" in protocol stack!) No error correction/recovery, flow control, in-order delivery ٠ - all relegated to higher layers Distributed Computing Group Computer Networks R. Wattenhofer 5/77 Distributed Computing Group Computer Networks R. Wattenhofer 5/78 **PPP** Data Frame Byte Stuffing "data transparency" requirement: Flag: delimiter (framing) - data must be allowed to include flag pattern <01111110> Address: does nothing (only one option) - Question: is received <01111110> data or flag? Control: does nothing; in the future possible multiple control fields Protocol: upper layer protocol to which frame delivered (e.g. PPP-LCP, IP, IPCP, etc.) Sender: adds ("stuffs") extra <01111110> byte after each <01111110> data byte info: upper layer data being carried Receiver: check: cyclic redundancy check for error detection - two 01111110 bytes in a row: discard first byte, variable continue data reception 1 2 or 4 1 1 1 or 2 1 length - single 01111110: that's the flag byte 01111110 1111111 00000011 protocol check 01111110 info flag control flag address Distributed Computing Group Computer Networks R. Wattenhofer 5/79

PPP Design Requirements [RFC 1557]


PPP Data Control Protocol

Before exchanging network-layer data, data link peers must

- configure PPP link
 - max. frame length
 - authentication
- learn/configure network layer information




Physical Layer: Wireless Frequencies




Frequencies and regulations

• ITU-R holds auctions for new frequencies, manages frequency bands worldwide (WRC, World Radio Conferences)

	Europe (CEPT/ETSI)	USA (FCC)	Japan
Mobile	NMT 453-457MHz,	AMPS, TDMA, CDMA	PDC
phones	463-467 MHz	824-849 MHz,	810-826 MHz,
•	GSM 890-915 MHz,	869-894 MHz	940-956 MHz,
	935-960 MHz,	TDMA, CDMA, GSM	1429-1465 MHz,
	1710-1785 MHz,	1850-1910 MHz,	1477-1513 MHz
	1805-1880 MHz	1930-1990 MHz	
Cordless	CT1+ 885-887 MHz,	PACS 1850-1910 MHz,	PHS
telephones	930-932 MHz	1930-1990 MHz	1895-1918 MHz
	CT2	PACS-UB 1910-1930 MHz	JCT
	864-868 MHz		254-380 MHz
	DECT		
	1880-1900 MHz		
Wireless	IEEE 802.11	IEEE 802.11	IEEE 802.11
LANs	2400-2483 MHz	2400-2483 MHz	2471-2497 MHz
	HIPERLAN 1		
	5176-5270 MHz		




- · Propagation in free space always like light (straight line)
- Transmission range
 - communication possible
 - low error rate
- Detection range
 - detection of the signal possible
 - no communication possible
- Interference range
 - signal may not be detected
 - signal adds to the background noise



Attenuation by distance

- Attenuation [dB] = 10 log₁₀ (transmitted power / received power)
- Example: factor 2 loss = $10 \log_{10} 2 \approx 3 \text{ dB}$
- In theory/vacuum (and for short distances), receiving power is proportional to 1/d², where d is the distance.
- In practice (for long distances), receiving power is proportional to 1/d^α, α = 4...6. We call α the path loss exponent.
- Example: Short distance, what is the attenuation between 10 and 100 meters distance?
 Factor 100 (=100²/10²) loss = 20 dB



distance

5/85


5/87



Distributed Computing Group Computer Networks R. Wattenhofer

Multipath propagation

• Signal can take many different paths between sender and receiver due to reflection, scattering, diffraction




- Time dispersion: signal is dispersed over time
- · Interference with "neighbor" symbols: Inter Symbol Interference (ISI)
- The signal reaches a receiver directly and phase shifted
- Distorted signal depending on the phases of the different parts



Attenuation by objects

- Shadowing (3-30 dB):
 - textile (3 dB)
 - concrete walls (13-20 dB)
 - floors (20-30 dB)
- reflection at large obstacles
- scattering at small obstacles
- diffraction at edges
- fading (frequency dependent)







shadowing

reflection scattering

diffraction



Distributed Computing Group Computer Networks R. Wattenhofer